AUREVIAJ JOURNAL OF NATURAL SCIENCES
DOI: https://doi.org/10.68925/e31fh873

AUREVIAJ

Review Article | Open Access

Abiotic and biotic stresses affecting honey bees: A review

Mohammad Ilyas Motmayen

Institute of Biology, Academy of Sciences of Afghanistan, Kabul, Afghanistan

ABSTRACT

Honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) are indispensable pollinators that underpin global food production and biodiversity, yet their colonies face unprecedented challenges from a complex web of environmental and anthropogenic stressors. This review synthesizes current knowledge on the major biotic and abiotic threats undermining honey bee health, emphasizing the interactive and cumulative effects that often prove more damaging than individual stressors acting alone. The ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor*, in concert with vectored viruses particularly Deformed Wing Virus (DWV) emerges as the most devastating biotic threat, forming a mutualistic symbiosis that perpetuates escalating immunosuppression and colony decline. Beyond parasites, pathogens including *Nosema ceranae*, bacterial diseases and fungal infections, alongside large predators such as the predatory wasps, pose significant challenges. Abiotic stressors including pesticides (especially neonicotinoids), nutritional impoverishment from habitat loss and agricultural intensification, climate change induced temperature extremes and environmental pollutants, further compromise colony resilience by impairing immunity, foraging behavior, reproduction and thermoregulation. Critically, stressor interactions produce synergistic effects that exceed additive predictions, with agrochemicals enhancing pathogen virulence, nutritional deficits exacerbating disease susceptibility and climate change modifying host-parasite dynamics. This review synthesizes recent mechanistic insights into how these stressors disrupt honey bee physiology and social organization, examines colony level buffering mechanisms and evaluates mitigation strategies ranging from integrated pest management and selective breeding to habitat restoration and precision beekeeping. Understanding the functional pathways through which diverse stressors converge often via the microbiome metabolome axis and immune signaling networks is essential for developing sustainable interventions to reverse current colony loss trends and safeguard pollination services.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Revised: 27 September, 2026
Accepted: 15 November, 2026

KEYWORDS

Apis mellifera, Varroa destructor, Colony losses, Neonicotinoids, Synergistic stress, Pollinator decline, Immune suppression, Nutritional stress, Climate change

Cite as: Motmayen, M. I. (2026). *Abiotic and biotic stresses affecting honey bees: A review*. *Aureviaj Journal of Natural Sciences. 1*(2), 83-121 DOI: https://doi.org/10.68925/e31fh873

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

INTRODUCTION

Honey bees are perhaps the most important pollinators in both natural and agricultural ecosystems. Approximately 75% of crop plants around the world rely on insect pollination, with bees representing the most significant group of pollinators (Klein et al., 2007). The global economic value of pollination services has been estimated at US$235–577 billion annually, underscoring the immense contribution of bees to food security and agricultural sustainability (Potts et al., 2016; Gallai et al., 2009). Beyond agriculture, honey bees facilitate the

*CONTACT **Mohammad Ilyas Motmayen** ilyas.motmayen@gmail.com Institute of Biology, Academy of Sciences of Afghanistan, Kabul, Afghanistan

reproduction of countless wild plant species, supporting biodiversity and ecosystem stability through their generalist foraging behavior (Hung et al., 2018).

However, this critical ecosystem service is threatened by widespread and persistent colony losses observed across North America, Europe and increasingly other regions over the past two decades (vanEngelsdorp et al., 2009; Neumann & Carreck, 2010; Hristov et al., 2020). Annual overwintering colony mortality rates in the United States have consistently exceeded 30% in many years (Bruckner et al., 2023), while European countries report average losses of 7–30% annually (Gray et al., 2019). These losses result in substantial economic impacts, including reduced crop yields, increased pollination costs almond pollination fees, for example, rose approximately 180% between 2004 and 2006 (Goodrich, 2019) and potential disruptions to food supply chains.

Despite extensive research, no single causal agent has been identified as the primary driver of colony losses. Instead, a convergence of multiple interacting stressors appears responsible (Goulson et al., 2015; Steinhauer et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2023). This realization has shifted the research paradigm from identifying individual causative agents toward understanding stressor interactions and their cumulative impacts on colony health.

The challenge of diagnosing bee decline arises partly from the biology of honey bees themselves. As eusocial insects living in colonies of tens of thousands, honey bees exhibit social buffering mechanisms including task redistribution, thermoregulation and shared immunity that can mask individual level stress effects until they reach a critical threshold (Ulgezen et al., 2021; Minaud et al., 2024). Moreover, foraging workers interact with diverse environmental matrices including air, soil, water and plants and are exposed to complex cocktails of chemical and biological agents during their daily activities.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive synthesis of abiotic and biotic stressors affecting honey bees, emphasizing the mechanistic pathways through which they undermine colony health and the emergent properties of stressor interactions. This discussion is organized around major threat categories, highlight recent advances in understanding stress mechanisms particularly regarding immune function, the microbiome-metabolome axis and social resilience and evaluate evidence-based mitigation strategies. The approach integrates findings from controlled laboratory experiments, field studies and long-term monitoring programs to provide a balanced assessment of colony health threats and potential interventions.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This review employed a structured literature review design to synthesize existing scholarly evidence concerning abiotic and biotic stressors affecting honey bee (*A. mellifera*) health, colony losses, stressor interactions and mitigation strategies. A literature review methodology was considered appropriate because the purpose of the study was to integrate current knowledge from diverse research domains including pathology, toxicology, ecology, immunology and apiculture rather than to report findings from a single experimental investigation. Literature reviews are particularly valuable in complex, multifactorial fields such

as honey bee health research because they enable researchers to identify major stressor categories, compare findings across controlled laboratory experiments and field studies, map mechanistic pathways and identify unresolved research questions requiring further investigation. Previous reviews have demonstrated that honey bee health research encompasses a wide range of biotic and abiotic stressors, including parasitic mites, viral pathogens, bacterial and fungal diseases, agrochemical exposure, nutritional limitation, climate change and their synergistic interactions (Goulson et al., 2015; Steinhauer et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2023; Hristov et al., 2020).

Search Strategy and Information Sources

Relevant scholarly literature was identified through systematic searches of major scientific databases, including Web of Science, Scopus, PubMed, Google Scholar and the CAB Abstracts database. The search was conducted using combinations of keywords and Boolean operators related to the principal themes of the review:

Core search terms included:

- Honey bee, *A. mellifera*, Apis, bee colony, pollinator
- Stressors, threats, colony losses, colony collapse disorder, CCD
- Biotic stressors: *V. destructor*, deformed wing virus, DWV, *N. ceranae*, American foulbrood, *Paenibacillus larvae*, European foulbrood, chalkbrood, *Ascosphaera apis*, small hive beetle, *Aethina tumida*, Predatory wasps, *Vespa* spp.
- Abiotic stressors: pesticides, neonicotinoids, insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, agrochemicals, acaricides, nutritional stress, pollen, habitat loss, climate change, temperature stress, heat stress, environmental pollutants, heavy metals, microplastics
- Mechanisms: immunity, immunosuppression, antimicrobial peptides, vitellogenin, microbiome, gut microbiota, metabolomics, oxidative stress, RNA interference, social immunity, hygienic behavior, thermoregulation
- Interactions and mitigation: synergistic effects, multiple stressors, interactions, integrated pest management, breeding, VSH, hygienic behavior, queen quality, nutrition, habitat restoration, precision beekeeping

The search was limited to peer reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and authoritative review articles published in English. Both review articles and primary empirical studies were included because review studies provide comprehensive overviews of specific stressor domains, whereas empirical studies provide mechanistic evidence and quantitative data on stressor effects and interactions. The search period covered literature published from 2000 to 2025, with emphasis on studies published after 2010 to capture recent advances in understanding stressor mechanisms, particularly regarding the honey bee microbiome, stressor synergisms and climate change impacts.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included when they:

- Examined biotic or abiotic stressors affecting honey bees (*A. mellifera*) or provided comparative data from closely related species;
- Addressed stressor mechanisms, colony level outcomes, stressor interactions, or mitigation strategies;
- Presented original empirical findings, systematic or narrative reviews, meta analyses, or technological/analytical developments relevant to honey bee stress research;
- Provided data on individual bee physiology, colony health, or colony-level outcomes relevant to colony survival and productivity; and
- Contributed to answering at least one of the principal research questions regarding stressor identification, mechanisms of action, interaction effects, or mitigation efficacy.

Studies were excluded when they:

- Focused exclusively on non-Apis pollinators without relevance to honey bee stress biology or comparative insights;
- Addressed non-agricultural or non-environmental applications unrelated to bee health;
- Were limited to anecdotal observations, opinion pieces without empirical support, or commercial product evaluations lacking scientific rigor;
- Did not present original data or provide substantive synthesis of existing knowledge; or
- Were published in languages other than English without adequate translation.

Data Extraction and Analysis

The identified literature was analyzed thematically to identify principal stressor categories, mechanistic pathways, interaction types and mitigation approaches. Five major thematic domains emerged from the synthesis:

1. **Biotic Stressors:** *V. destructor* mites and associated viruses (Deformed Wing Virus, DWV; Israeli Acute Paralysis Virus, IAPV; Acute Bee Paralysis Virus, ABPV); microsporidian parasites (*N. ceranae*, *Nosema apis*); bacterial pathogens (*Paenibacillus larvae* causing American foulbrood; *Melissococcus plutonius* causing European foulbrood); fungal pathogens (*Ascosphaera apis* causing chalkbrood); and large invasive enemies (*Vespa* spp., *Aethina tumida*, *Galleria mellonella*).
2. **Abiotic Stressors:** Agrochemical exposure (neonicotinoid insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, in-hive acaricides); nutritional stress and habitat loss (monoculture agriculture, limited floral diversity, pollen quality and quantity); climate change and temperature stress (heatwaves, phenological mismatches, range shifts); and

environmental pollutants (heavy metals, microplastics, particulate matter, electromagnetic radiation).

3. **Stressor Interactions and Synergisms:** Documented interactions between pesticides and pathogens, pesticides and nutrition, pathogens and pathogens (e.g., *V. destructor*-DWV mutualism) and climate-stressor interactions; identification of additive versus synergistic effects; mechanistic pathways underlying enhanced toxicity and immunosuppression.
4. **Mechanisms of Resilience:** Social immunity (hygienic behavior, grooming, propolis collection, behavioral fever, task allocation); individual immune responses (cellular and humoral immunity, RNA interference); the gut microbiome-metabolome axis (nutrient metabolism, pathogen defense, immune modulation, stress induced dysbiosis); and genetic and breeding approaches (VSH, hygienic behavior selection, tolerance breeding).
5. **Mitigation Strategies and Integrated Management:** Integrated pest management for *Varroa* (chemical control, biotechnical methods, breeding for resistance); disease management (AFB/EFB control, *Nosema* management, viral control through vector management); nutritional management and habitat restoration; pesticide risk mitigation (regulatory actions, best practices, habitat-based approaches); beekeeper education and adoption of evidence based practices; and emerging technologies (precision beekeeping, remote sensing, health status indices).

Quality Assessment and Evidence Integration

Study quality was assessed based on experimental design, sample size, replication, controls and relevance to field realistic conditions. Greater weight was given to studies employing robust experimental designs, including controlled laboratory experiments with appropriate controls, field studies with adequate replication, longitudinal cohort studies and meta-analyses synthesizing multiple independent studies. Mechanistic studies using molecular approaches (transcriptomics, metabolomics, microbiome profiling) were given particular attention where they elucidated pathways underlying stressor effects and interactions.

The synthesis integrated evidence across different experimental scales from molecular level mechanistic studies to individual bee physiological experiments to colony level field investigations. Where appropriate, evidence from model organisms (e.g., *Drosophila melanogaster*) was incorporated to illuminate mechanisms conserved across insects. However, findings from non-bee systems were interpreted cautiously and clearly distinguished from direct honey bee evidence.

Findings were organized hierarchically, with greater emphasis placed on evidence supported by multiple independent studies, robust experimental designs and consistent results across studies. Discrepancies and conflicting findings were noted and discussed in terms of methodological differences, experimental conditions, or biological variation. Where evidence was limited or inconclusive, this was acknowledged and identified as a priority for future research.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Major Biotic Stressors Affecting Honey Bee Health

The V. destructor Mite: A Keystone Threat

The ectoparasitic mite *V. destructor* is widely recognized as the most significant biotic threat to honey bee health globally (Rosenkranz et al., 2010; Traynor et al., 2020). Originally a parasite of the Asian honey bee (*Apis cerana*), *V. destructor* switched hosts to *A. mellifera* in the mid-20th century and its subsequent global spread has fundamentally altered honey bee health dynamics. Where established, feral *A. mellifera* populations have largely been eradicated in the Northern Hemisphere without human intervention (Kraus & Page, 1995; Pirk et al., 2017).

Life Cycle and Direct Pathogenic Effects: *V. destructor* exhibits a biphasic life cycle consisting of a phoretic dispersal stage on adult bees and a reproductive stage within capped brood cells (Traynor et al., 2020). During phoresis, adult female mites attach to foraging bees, feeding on host fat body tissue rather than hemolymph as previously believed (Ramsey et al., 2019) and using the host as a vehicle for dispersal. The reproductive phase begins when a foundress mite enters a brood cell just before capping, submerging in larval food to avoid detection.

Mite reproduction is synchronized with host development, with oviposition initiating upon cell capping. Each foundress produces a single male and one to several female offspring, depending on conditions (Rosenkranz et al., 2010). All mite stages feed on the developing bee's fat body, causing direct damage that manifests as reduced adult body weight (Kovac & Crailsheim, 1988), impaired flight performance (Kralj & Fuchs, 2006), reduced sperm production in drones (Duay et al., 2002) and decreased longevity (Schneider & Drescher, 1987).

Immunosuppression and Virus Vectoring: Perhaps even more consequential than direct feeding damage is the mite's role as an immunosuppressant and virus vector. *Varroa* parasitism suppresses honey bee immune function through multiple mechanisms. Infested bees exhibit reduced expression of genes encoding antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) such as hymenoptaecin and defensin (Koleoglu et al., 2017), compromised hemocyte function and impaired melanization and encapsulation responses (Di Prisco et al., 2016). Critically, mite feeding facilitates the replication of previously covert viral infections, particularly Deformed Wing Virus (DWV), transforming a benign, vertically transmitted virus into a rapidly replicating pathogen that reaches lethal titers (Martin et al., 2012; Wilfert et al., 2016).

A pivotal discovery has been the mutualistic symbiosis established between *V. destructor* and DWV. Di Prisco et al. (2016) demonstrated that DWV infection actively suppresses honey bee immunity by interfering with NF-κB signaling, reducing the host's capacity to mount cellular and humoral immune responses. This viral-induced immunosuppression, in turn, enhances mite feeding efficiency and reproductive success. The mite benefits from reduced host resistance, while the virus benefits from both vectoring and immunosuppression that enhances its replication. This reciprocal facilitation creates a self-perpetuating loop of escalating colony damage (Nazzi et al., 2012; Di Prisco et al., 2016).

Resistance Mechanisms and Natural Tolerance: Some *A. mellifera* populations exhibit resistance or tolerance to *V. destructor*, offering insights for breeding programs. Hygienic behavior, the detection and removal of infested brood is a heritable trait (h^2=0.40–0.67) associated with reduced mite population growth (Büchler et al., 2010). *Varroa* Sensitive Hygiene (VSH) specifically targets mite-infested pupae (Villa et al., 2009). Cell recapping, wherein workers recap brood cells that have been opened for inspection, has been associated with reduced mite reproductive success (Lin et al., 2018; Oddie et al., 2021). Grooming behaviors, both auto-grooming and allo-grooming, contribute to mite removal from adult bees (Bąk & Wilde, 2015).

Understanding these natural resistance mechanisms is crucial for developing sustainable breeding strategies, particularly as chemical control faces increasing challenges from acaricide resistance (Rinkevich, 2020).

Honey Bee Viruses: Ubiquitous Threats

More than 24 viruses have been identified infecting honey bees, with most belonging to the families Dicistroviridae and Iflaviridae (Grozinger & Flenniken, 2019; Beaurepaire et al., 2020). These positive-sense single stranded RNA viruses can infect all life stages and castes, though their pathogenic impact varies considerably by virus species, host age and environmental context.

Deformed Wing Virus (DWV): DWV has transformed from a largely unknown pathogen to the most ubiquitous and intensively studied honey bee virus, driven almost entirely by its association with *V. destructor* (Martin et al., 2012). Prior to the mite's global spread, DWV typically persisted as low-titer covert infections transmitted vertically and horizontally. However, mite vectoring changes DWV transmission dynamics fundamentally: injection directly into the hemolymph bypasses natural barriers, selects for more virulent virus strains and enables massive viral replication (Wilfert et al., 2016).

DWV infection manifests in multiple ways. Overt infections produce the characteristic wing deformities and shortened abdomens that give the virus its name (de Miranda & Genersch, 2010). However, many infections remain covert, yet still produce significant fitness costs including reduced foraging performance, shortened lifespan and impaired learning and memory (Benaets et al., 2017). DWV has been detected in all castes, including queens and drones and can be transmitted vertically and sexually (Amiri et al., 2016; Yanez et al., 2012).

Black Queen Cell Virus (BQCV): A common virus historically associated with *N. apis*, BQCV can cause mortality in queen larvae and pupae (Bailey & Ball, 1991). Doublet et al. (2015) demonstrated additive interactions between BQCV and the neonicotinoid thiacloprid in larvae and synergistic interactions between BQCV and *N. ceranae* in adults, illustrating the importance of stressor interactions.

Sacbrood Virus (SBV): A significant pathogen affecting both *A. mellifera* and *A. cerana*, SBV causes larval mortality characterized by the failure of larvae to pupate, with fluid accumulation beneath the unshed cuticle (Bailey & Ball, 1991). Chinese sacbrood virus (CSBV) has caused substantial colony losses in Asia (Gong et al., 2016).

Israeli Acute Paralysis Virus (IAPV): IAPV gained prominence following its association with Colony Collapse Disorder (Cox-Foster et al., 2007). While subsequent studies have not consistently linked IAPV to CCD, experimental infections demonstrate its potential to cause acute paralysis and mortality, particularly when vectored by *V. destructor* (Di Prisco et al., 2011).

Acute Bee Paralysis Virus (ABPV) and Chronic Bee Paralysis Virus (CBPV): ABPV causes rapid paralysis and death of adult bees, while CBPV produces a more chronic disease characterized by trembling, hair loss and crawling behavior (Ribière et al., 2010; de Miranda et al., 2010).

Virus Transmission and Dynamics

Honey bee viruses employ diverse transmission strategies including horizontal (via trophallaxis, fecal-oral routes, shared food resources), vertical (queen to offspring) and sexual (drone to queen during mating) transmission (Chen et al., 2006; Amiri et al., 2016; Yanez et al., 2012). The *Varroa* mite's role as both vector and amplifier has fundamentally changed viral dynamics in *A. mellifera*, converting many low-level chronic infections into acute disease outbreaks.

The RNA interference (RNAi) pathway serves as the primary antiviral defense in honey bees (Brutscher et al., 2015). However, *V. destructor*-mediated immunosuppression, combined with immune disruption from other stressors, can overwhelm this defense. Significantly, some viruses encode putative suppressors of RNAi, potentially enhancing their virulence (McMenamin et al., 2018).

Microsporidian Parasites: Nosema Species

Two species of microsporidia *N. apis* and *N. ceranae* infect adult honey bees, causing a disease known as nosemosis (Fries et al., 2013). Both species invade midgut epithelial cells, causing damage that impairs nutrient absorption and immune function.

***N. ceranae* Emergence and Impacts:** Originally described from Asian honey bees (*A. cerana*), *N. ceranae* has successfully switched hosts to *A. mellifera* and now has a global distribution (Fries, 2010; Higes et al., 2013). While N. apis has coexisted with *A. mellifera* historically, *N. ceranae* appears to be displacing it in many regions, possibly due to differences in virulence and transmission dynamics (Gisder et al., 2017).

N. ceranae infection produces a range of sublethal effects that ultimately contribute to colony decline. Infected bees exhibit energetic stress due to disrupted nutrient processing (Mayack & Naug, 2009), premature foraging onset, impaired homing ability (Wolf et al., 2014; Kralj & Fuchs, 2010) and reduced lifespan (Paris et al., 2018). At the colony level, *N. ceranae* infection is associated with reduced honey production, decreased brood rearing and, in severe cases, colony mortality (Higes et al., 2008; Botías et al., 2013).

Pesticide-Pathogen Interactions: Alarmingly, *N. ceranae* interacts synergistically with many agrochemicals. Vidau et al. (2011) demonstrated that exposure to sublethal doses of fipronil or thiacloprid dramatically increased mortality in *N. ceranae*-infected bees compared to either stressor alone. This synergy appears to involve immunosuppression and energetic disruption

from both infection and pesticide exposure. Similarly, *N. ceranae* interacts with neonicotinoids to increase mortality, though the direction of interaction can vary with dose and exposure conditions (Alaux et al., 2010; Pettis et al., 2012; Doublet et al., 2015).

Other Microbial Pathogens

Bacterial Diseases:

- **American Foulbrood (*Paenibacillus larvae*):** Arguably the most devastating bacterial disease of honey bee brood, American foulbrood (AFB) is a globally distributed pathogen capable of causing rapid colony collapse if untreated (Genersch, 2010). *P. larvae* is a spore-forming bacterium that produces highly resistant endospores capable of persisting in hive materials for decades. Spores germinate in larval midguts when ingested with contaminated food, vegetative bacteria proliferate and larval tissues are destroyed. Clinical signs include dark, sunken, perforated cappings and the characteristic "ropey" consistency of decomposing larval remains.
- **European Foulbrood (*Melissococcus plutonius*):** A less severe but still significant bacterial disease, European foulbrood (EFB) affects brood during the larval stage. Unlike AFB, EFB typically does not kill colonies outright but can cause substantial brood mortality and colony weakening, particularly when combined with other stressors (Forsgren et al., 2013).

Fungal Diseases:

- **Chalkbrood (*Ascosphaera apis*):** This fungal disease affects larvae, producing chalk-like mummies within brood cells (Aronstein & Murray, 2010). Disease incidence is exacerbated by cool, humid conditions and appears to be influenced by nutritional stress and larval immune competence (Pavlović et al., 2024). Chalkbrood is typically managed through improved colony ventilation, adequate nutrition and requeening with more hygienic stocks.

Large Invasive Enemies

Predatory wasps (*Vespa* Spp.): The yellow legged hornet, native to Asia, has invaded Europe and is spreading globally (Requier et al., 2019). V. velutina preys extensively on honey bees, stationing near hive entrances to capture returning foragers. Besides other species of predatory wasps including *V. basalis*, *V. tropica*, *V. orientalis* and *V. mandarinia* cause severe losses to the apiaries across the world (Motmayen et al., 2024) Predation pressure can reduce foraging activity, deplete honey stores (as bees remain in the hive) and cause colony paralysis or absconding. Unlike *A. cerana*, which has evolved effective defenses against these predators, *A. mellifera* shows limited resistance, making colonies particularly vulnerable (Tan et al., 2007).

Small Hive Beetle (*Aethina tumida*): Originally endemic to sub-Saharan Africa, the small hive beetle (SHB) has become established in multiple regions, including the Americas (Neumann et al., 2016). SHB larvae damage comb, consume honey and pollen and can cause colony collapse when populations reach high levels. The beetle's association with the yeast

Kodamaea ohmeri throughout its life cycle may contribute to its pathogenicity (Amos et al., 2018).

Wax Moths (*Galleria mellonella, Achroia grisella*): While primarily considered secondary pests, wax moths can cause substantial damage to stored equipment and, in weakened colonies, can destroy comb and brood (Kwadha et al., 2017). Climate change and altered management practices may increase their impact in some regions. Major biotic stressors of honey bees with their pathogenic mechanisms and management strategies are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: *Major Biotic Stressors of Honey Bees: Pathogenic Mechanisms and Management*

Stressor	Type	Primary Hosts/Stages	Pathogenic Mechanisms	Key Interactions	Management Approaches
V. destructor	Ectoparasitic mite	Adults, brood (preference for drone brood)	Direct feeding on fat body; immunosuppression (NF-κB interference); virus vector (DWV, IAPV, ABPV)	Synergistic with DWV (mutualistic symbiosis); interactions with neonicotinoids; nutritional stress	Acaricides (varies by region); organic acids; thymol; biotechnical methods (brood interruption); breeding for resistance (VSH, hygienic behavior) (Rosenkranz et al., 2010; Di Prisco et al., 2016)
Deformed Wing Virus (DWV)	Iflavirus	All stages and castes	Viral replication in multiple tissues; wing deformities; reduced lifespan; cognitive impairment; immunosuppression via NF-κB	Vectored and amplified by *V. destructor*; synergizes with neonicotinoids	*Varroa* control; breeding for tolerance; RNAi (experimental) [de Miranda & Genersch, 2010; Martin et al., 2012]
N. ceranae	Microsporidian gut parasite	Adult workers (midgut epithelium)	Energetic stress; impaired nutrient absorption; premature foraging; immunosuppression; reduced lifespan	Synergistic with neonicotinoids (fipronil, thiacloprid, imidacloprid); interacts with BQCV and DWV	Fumagillin (limited effectiveness, banned in EU); natural products; probiotics; nutritional supplementation (Higes et al., 2008; Vidau et al., 2011; Paris et al., 2018)
Paenibacillus larvae	Gram-positive bacterium (AFB)	Larvae	Spore germination; vegetative proliferation; larval tissue destruction; spore persistence	Interactions with pesticides (sublethal effects increase susceptibility)	Antibiotics (oxytetracycline, tylosin); burning infected equipment; hygienic breeding; spore detection via qPCR (Genersch, 2010; Kušar et al., 2021)
Vespa Spp.	Predatory wasps	Adult foragers	Foraging paralysis; colony stress; hive abandonment	Climate change facilitates spread; reduced coevolution with *A. mellifera*	Physical traps; mechanical protection; monitoring/eradication (Requier et al., 2019)
Aethina tumida	Coleopteran pest	Stored honey/pollen, brood (opportunistic)	Comb destruction; honey fermentation; larval damage	Association with *Kodamaea ohmeri* yeast	Physical traps; nematodes; integrated pest management (Neumann et al., 2016)

Abiotic Stressors

Agrochemicals: Pesticides, Fungicides and Herbicides

Honey bees are exposed to diverse agrochemicals through contaminated nectar, pollen, water and wax (Mullin et al., 2010; Traynor et al., 2021). While acute lethal effects of pesticides are well documented and regulatory risk assessment focuses primarily on these outcomes, sublethal exposures arguably pose greater challenges for colony health through their impacts on behavior, reproduction, immune function and social organization.

Neonicotinoid Insecticides: Neonicotinoids synthetic nicotine analogues that act as agonists of insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors have received intense scrutiny due to their widespread use, systemic properties and documented effects on non-target organisms, including honey bees (Simon-Delso et al., 2015). Their systemic translocation to nectar and pollen means foraging bees inevitably encounter residues at field-realistic concentrations (Krupke et al., 2012; David et al., 2016).

Sublethal effects of neonicotinoids are diverse and often behaviorally relevant. Exposure impairs learning and memory (Muth & Leonard, 2019), reduces foraging success and homing ability (Henry et al., 2012), disrupts navigation (Fischer et al., 2014) and affects sucrose responsiveness and waggle dance communication (Eiri & Nieh, 2012). These effects compound to reduce colony level foraging efficiency and food collection.

Reproductive effects are equally concerning. Neonicotinoid exposure during queen development affects subsequent reproductive performance, reducing sperm viability and stored sperm counts, affecting ovary development and increasing supersedure rates (Williams et al., 2015; Forfert et al., 2017; Gajger et al., 2017). Drones are particularly susceptible to neonicotinoid effects, with exposure reducing survival, sperm viability and mating success (Straub et al., 2016; Friedli et al., 2020).

The molecular mechanisms underlying neonicotinoid sublethal effects are increasingly understood. Neonicotinoids impair learning and memory through effects on insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the mushroom bodies, brain regions critical for learning, memory and sensory integration (Palmer et al., 2013). Additionally, neonicotinoids affect immune competence by interfering with NF-κB signaling, thereby promoting replication of viral pathogens such as DWV (Di Prisco et al., 2013).

Fungicides: Historically considered relatively safe for bees, fungicides are now recognized as significant stressors, particularly through their interactions with other chemicals and pathogens. Fungicides can impair mitochondrial function, alter gut microbiome composition and affect foraging behavior (Rondeau & Raine, 2022). Importantly, many fungicides synergistically increase the toxicity of simultaneously present insecticides (Siviter et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2013).

Herbicides: Herbicides, particularly glyphosate, are among the most widely used agrochemicals globally. While glyphosate has low acute toxicity to bees, its impact on the gut microbiome may have significant health implications. Glyphosate alters the relative abundance of core gut symbionts such as *Snodgrassella alvi*, potentially affecting nutrient processing and immune function (Motta et al., 2018).

In-Hive Acaricides: Ironically, the chemicals used to control *Varroa* mites themselves can harm bees. Synthetic acaricides such as fluvalinate and coumaphos and organophosphate amitraz, persist in wax, accumulate over time and can have sublethal effects on bee health (Mullin et al., 2010). Acaricide exposure during development can reduce queen quality (Collins & Pettis, 2013) and residues in wax and pollen contribute to the complex pesticide mixtures found in colonies (Rangel & Tarpy, 2015).

Nutritional Stress and Habitat Loss

Nutritional Requirements: Honey bees require diverse nutrients for colony health. Nectar provides carbohydrates (mostly glucose, fructose and sucrose), while pollen supplies proteins, lipids, vitamins, minerals and essential amino acids (Brodschneider & Crailsheim, 2010; Wright et al., 2018). Proper nutrition is essential for brood rearing, worker longevity, immune function and colony productivity.

Impact of Agricultural Intensification: Modern agriculture, characterized by large scale monocultures and reduced habitat diversity, creates nutritional challenges for bees. Monoculture farming limits the diversity of available forage, creates temporal gaps in resource availability and often reduces the nutritional quality of available pollen and nectar (Di Pasquale et al., 2013; Donkersley et al., 2014). Landscape simplification is associated with reduced pollen diversity, decreased colony growth and higher pathogen loads (Alaux et al., 2017; Requier et al., 2017).

Nutrition-Immunity Interactions: Nutritional status fundamentally affects immune competence in honey bees. Pollen fed bees exhibit higher expression of immune genes, better survival against pathogens and lower viral loads (DeGrandi-Hoffman & Chen, 2015; Zhang et al., 2020). Conversely, nutritional stress reduces immune function and increases susceptibility to infections. The gut microbiome mediates many nutrition-immunity interactions, as dietary composition affects microbial community structure and metabolite production (Motta & Moran, 2024; Dolezal & Toth, 2018).

Habitat Loss and Fragmentation: The conversion of natural habitats to agriculture, urbanization and infrastructure development has dramatically reduced the availability of bee forage and nesting resources (Potts et al., 2010; Belsky & Joshi, 2019). Landscape simplification reduces the diversity and abundance of flowering plants, forcing bees to forage on a limited number of crop species or travel longer distances for food. Both effects increase colony stress and reduce resilience to other stressors.

Climate Change and Temperature Stress

Direct Physiological Effects: Honey bees, like other insects, are poikilothermic and sensitive to temperature. Colony thermoregulation maintains brood nest temperatures at approximately 34-35°C, but extreme temperatures impose substantial energetic costs (Stabentheiner et al., 2010; Doke et al., 2015). Heatwaves reduce colony thermoregulatory capacity, increase worker mortality and, critically, impair queen fertility. McAfee et al. (2020) demonstrated that exposure to temperatures ≥40°C for as little as two hours can kill stored sperm in queens, with potential consequences for colony viability.

Phenological Mismatches: Climate change is altering the phenology of both plants and insect pollinators, with potential for temporal mismatches between bee emergence and flowering (Hegland et al., 2009; Bartomeus et al., 2011). While some species demonstrate phenological plasticity, mismatches could reduce forage availability during critical periods for colony development.

Range Shifts and Species Interactions: Climate change is driving poleward and elevational shifts in species distributions, including both plants and insect pollinators (Kerr et al., 2015; Ploquin et al., 2013). These shifts can disrupt established plant-pollinator interactions and expose bees to novel pathogens, predators, or competitors.

Other Abiotic Stressors

Environmental Pollutants: Heavy metals, microplastics and particulate matter have all been detected in honey bees, pollen and hive products, raising concerns about their health implications. Cadmium and lead exposure affects bee survival, gut microbiome composition and immune function (Rothman et al., 2019; Al Naggar et al., 2020). Microplastics, a recently recognized pollutant, can reduce gut microbial diversity and alter gene expression related to detoxification and immunity (Deng et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021).

Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR): Several studies have reported effects of EMR on honey bee behavior and physiology, including reduced learning and memory, altered orientation and increased colony mortality (Sharma & Kumar, 2010). However, the evidence remains inconsistent and regulatory implications uncertain.

Beekeeping Management Practices: While necessary for colony management, certain beekeeping practices can impose stress on colonies. Long-distance transportation for pollination services reduces worker lifespan and increases oxidative stress (Simone-Finstrom et al., 2016). Inadequate management, such as failure to control *Varroa* or provide sufficient nutrition, contributes substantially to colony mortality (Jacques et al., 2017). Inbreeding, resulting from limited genetic diversity, can reduce colony fitness and disease resistance (Tarpy et al., 2013).

Table 2: *Major Abiotic Stressors: Effects, Mechanisms and Mitigation*

Stressor	Exposure Route	Primary Effects	Mechanisms	Key Interactions	Mitigation
Neonicotinoids (imidacloprid, clothianidin, thiamethoxam)	Contaminated pollen, nectar, water, guttation drops	Neurotoxicity; impaired learning, memory and foraging; reproductive impairment; immunosuppression	nAChR agonism; NF-κB interference; mitochondrial dysfunction	Synergize with fungicides; enhance viral replication; interact with *Varroa* and Nosema	Reduced use; seed treatment alternatives; temporal restrictions (crop flowering); organic practices [Simon-Delso et al., 2015; Di Prisco et al., 2013]
Fungicides (propiconazole,	Contaminated pollen,	Gut microbiome disruption; impaired digestion;	Mitochondrial dysfunction; P450	Synergistic with insecticides;	Reduced use during bloom; alternative fungicides; integrated pest management

chlorothalonil, pyraclostrobin)	nectar, wax	increased pathogen susceptibility	enzyme interactions; altered microbiota	increase Nosema susceptibility	[Rondeau & Raine, 2022; Siviter et al., 2021]
Glyphosate	Contaminated pollen, nectar, water	Gut microbiome disruption; potential immunosuppression	EPSPS inhibition in plants; gut bacteria perturbation	May increase pathogen susceptibility	Reduced use; alternative herbicides; buffer zones [Motta et al., 2018]
Nutritional Stress (monofloral diets, resource scarcity)	Limited floral diversity, agricultural monocultures	Reduced immunity; impaired brood rearing; shortened lifespan; increased disease susceptibility	Protein deficit; amino acid imbalance; reduced vitellogenin; microbiota dysbiosis	Increases pathogen susceptibility; interacts with pesticides	Habitat restoration; floral diversity enhancement; supplementary feeding (quality) [Di Pasquale et al., 2013; Dolezal & Toth, 2018]
Heat Stress	Climate change; poor hive ventilation	Queen fertility impairment; worker mortality; colony thermoregulation failure	Sperm damage; protein denaturation; metabolic stress; oxidative stress	Interacts with pesticide toxicity; affects *Varroa* population growth	Shading; ventilation; genetic selection for heat tolerance; migratory management [McAfee et al., 2020; Bordier et al., 2017]
Migration/Transport Stress	Colony relocation for pollination	Reduced worker lifespan; increased oxidative stress; nutritional disruption; increased drift	Energetic costs; confinement stress; disorientation	Interacts with nutritional stress; increases pathogen transmission	Reduced transport frequency; improved conditions; stationary management where possible [Simone-Finstrom et al., 2016]

Stressor Interactions: Synergisms That Exceed Additive Effects

The growing consensus that honey bee declines result from the interaction of multiple stressors rather than any single factor has driven research toward understanding synergistic effects, where the combined impact exceeds the sum of individual effects (Goulson et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2023). This section examines the most important stressor interactions and their underlying mechanisms.

Pesticide-Pathogen Interactions

Perhaps the most extensively documented synergism involves interactions between agrochemicals and pathogens. Di Prisco et al. (2013) demonstrated that sublethal clothianidin exposure impairs honey bee antiviral immunity by interfering with NF-κB signaling, promoting DWV replication. This finding provides a mechanistic basis for observations linking neonicotinoid use with increased viral loads in colonies (Alburaki et al., 2015; Dively et al., 2015).

Doublet et al. (2015) systematically tested interactions between the neonicotinoid thiacloprid and two common pathogens, *N. ceranae* and BQCV, across both larval and adult stages. They found:

- **Larvae:** Additive interaction between BQCV and thiacloprid on larval survival, with the pesticide increasing viral loads.
- **Adults:** Synergistic interactions between *N. ceranae* and BQCV and between *N. ceranae* and thiacloprid, both increasing adult mortality. The two pathogens together had a more profound effect on mortality than *N. ceranae* plus thiacloprid.

These results underscore the importance of considering stressor interactions across developmental stages, as the nature and severity of interactions can differ dramatically between larvae and adults.

Pettis et al. (2012) demonstrated that exposure to crop pollen containing fungicide residues increased *N. ceranae* infection levels, while Vidau et al. (2011) showed synergistic mortality between *N. ceranae* infection and sublethal fipronil or thiacloprid exposure. These findings illustrate the diverse pathways through which agrochemicals can enhance pathogenicity.

Pesticide-Nutrition Interactions

Nutritional deficits can exacerbate pesticide toxicity and vice versa. Tosi et al. (2017) demonstrated synergistic effects between neonicotinoid exposure and limited food availability, with combined exposure reducing survival by up to 50%. This interaction appears to involve reduced food consumption, impaired nutrient metabolism and energetic deficits.

Conversely, adequate nutrition can mitigate pesticide effects. Pollen-fed bees exhibit better survival when exposed to pesticides than bees maintained on sugar alone (Schmehl et al., 2014). Mao et al. (2013) demonstrated that dietary phytochemicals, particularly p-coumaric acid, upregulate detoxification and immunity genes, providing a potential mechanism for nutrition-mediated protection.

Pathogen-Pathogen Interactions

Honey bee colonies commonly harbor multiple pathogens simultaneously and their interactions significantly affect colony health. The synergistic interaction between *N. ceranae* and BQCV observed by Doublet et al. (2015) exemplifies how co-infection with two common pathogens can have more severe consequences than single infections.

The *V. destructor*-DWV mutualism described earlier (Di Prisco et al., 2016) represents a particularly problematic pathogen interaction. *Varroa* facilitates viral replication and spread, while DWV-induced immunosuppression enhances mite reproductive success a reciprocal facilitation that perpetuates escalating colony decline.

Climate-Stressor Interactions

Climate change modulates stressor effects in multiple ways. Extreme temperatures, particularly heatwaves, not only directly affect bees but also interact with pesticide toxicity and pathogen prevalence (Saleem et al., 2020; Straub et al., 2019). Warmer conditions can increase *Varroa* population growth and alter virus dynamics (Piot et al., 2022). Drought-induced resource

scarcity compounds nutritional stress, particularly in agricultural landscapes (Requier et al., 2017).

Table 3: *Documented Synergistic Interactions among Honey Bee Stressors*

Stressors	Measured Effect	Experimental Model	Developmental Stage	Mechanism	Reference
BQCV + thiacloprid	Additive mortality; elevated viral loads	Laboratory caged bees	Larvae	Viral load elevation; immune interference	Doublet et al. (2015)
N. ceranae + BQCV	Synergistic mortality	Laboratory caged bees	Adults	Immune suppression; physiological stress	Doublet et al. (2015)
N. ceranae + thiacloprid	Synergistic mortality	Laboratory caged bees	Adults	Reduced immunity; energetic stress	Doublet et al. (2015)
V. destructor + DWV	Mutualistic symbiosis; escalating colony losses	Field colonies	All stages	Immunosuppression via NF-κB	Di Prisco et al. (2016)
Clothianidin + DWV	Increased viral replication	Laboratory caged bees	Adults	NF-κB inhibition	Di Prisco et al. (2013)
Imidacloprid + *N. ceranae*	Increased mortality; elevated spore loads	Laboratory/field	Adults	Immune suppression; detoxification compromise	Pettis et al. (2012); Alaux et al. (2010)
Fipronil/thiacloprid + *N. ceranae*	Highly increased mortality	Laboratory	Adults	Reduced immunity; synergistic toxicity	Vidau et al. (2011)
Neonicotinoid + Nutritional stress	Synergistic mortality	Laboratory	Adults	Reduced food consumption; energetic stress	Tosi et al. (2017)
Varroa + Neonicotinoids	Reduced survival	Laboratory field realistic	Winter bees	Immunosuppression; energetic cost	Straub et al. (2019)
Thiamethoxam + DWV	Increased wing deformities; elevated immune gene expression	Laboratory	Newly emerged adults	Enhanced pathogenicity; immune modulation	Phokasem et al. (2022)

Mechanisms of Stress Resilience: How Colonies Resist and Recover

Social Immunity and Organizational Resilience

One of the most remarkable features of honey bee societies is their capacity to buffer against individual level stress through collective mechanisms, a phenomenon termed social immunity (Evans & Spivak, 2010). These mechanisms include:

Hygienic Behavior: Workers detect and remove diseased brood from the colony, limiting pathogen transmission. This behavior is heritable and has been used successfully in breeding programs (Büchler et al., 2010). Hygieinc behavior encompasses multiple components:

uncapping cells containing diseased brood, removing dead or diseased larvae and cleaning cells before reuse.

Grooming and Allogrooming: Workers groom each other to remove ectoparasites such as *Varroa* mites. Some bee stocks exhibit higher grooming rates than others, contributing to *Varroa* resistance (Bąk & Wilde, 2015).

Propolis Collection and Use: Bees collect plant resins (propolis) and use them to line hive surfaces. Propolis has antimicrobial properties and has been shown to improve colony health, reduce pathogen loads and even enhance individual immune function (Simone-Finstrom et al., 2009; Borba et al., 2015).

Behavioral Fever: Honey bees can elevate colony temperature to resist heat sensitive fungal pathogens like *A. apis*. This phenomenon demonstrates the colony's ability to coordinate thermoregulation for disease defense (Goblirsch et al., 2020).

Task Allocation and Colony Compensation: When colonies lose workers to pathogens or pesticides, remaining workers can increase activity rates to compensate. However, this compensation is not unlimited; when worker losses exceed colony capacity, the colony enters a decline spiral (Betti et al., 2016; Perry et al., 2015).

Individual Immune Responses

Despite the importance of social immunity, individual immune responses remain crucial for honey bee health. Honey bees possess a well characterized innate immune system comprising cellular and humoral components (Evans et al., 2006; Amiri et al., 2020).

Cellular Immunity: Hemocytes mediate phagocytosis, nodulation and encapsulation responses. Hemocyte populations respond to infection, but can be compromised by stressors, including *Varroa* parasitism (Richards et al., 2011) and exposure to insecticides (Brandt et al., 2016).

Humoral Immunity: Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) including apidaecin, abaecin, hymenoptaecin and defensing are produced in response to infection (Antúnez et al., 2009). AMP production is regulated by the Toll and Imd signaling pathways, which are targets of stressor-induced immunosuppression.

RNA Interference (RNAi): The RNAi pathway is a critical antiviral defense mechanism (Brutscher et al., 2015). RNAi-mediated treatment has been proposed as a potential therapeutic approach for honey bee viruses, though practical challenges remain (Yang et al., 2018).

The Microbiome-Metabolome Axis

The honey bee gut microbiome is increasingly recognized as a key determinant of colony health, mediating interactions between nutrition, immunity and stress resilience (Motta & Moran, 2024; Lin et al., 2023). The adult worker gut is colonized by a conserved set of host-specific bacteria dominated by nine bacterial species, including *Snodgrassella alvi*, *Gilliamella apicola* and multiple Lactobacillus species.

Microbiome Functions:

- **Nutrient metabolism:** Gut bacteria contribute to processing of dietary carbohydrates, including breakdown of plant polysaccharides (Zheng et al., 2019).
- **Pathogen defense:** Resident microbiota can protect against opportunistic pathogens through competitive exclusion, production of antimicrobial compounds and stimulation of host immunity (Steele et al., 2021).
- **Immune modulation:** Gut bacteria influence host immune gene expression and systemic immune competence (Kwong & Moran, 2016).

Stress Induced Dysbiosis: Many stressors disrupt the gut microbiome. Pesticide exposure, particularly glyphosate and neonicotinoids, alters microbial community composition, reducing abundance of core taxa (Motta et al., 2018; Hotchkiss et al., 2022). Antibiotic exposure causes long lasting disruption of gut microbial communities with consequences for host physiology (Raymann et al., 2017). Nutritional stress, particularly pollen deprivation, also affects microbiome composition and metabolic function (Ricigliano et al., 2019; Castelli et al., 2020).

Metabolomic Consequences of Microbiome Disruption: Functional dysbiosis (disruption of microbial metabolic activities independent of taxonomic changes) can have profound consequences for host health (Lin et al., 2023). Stress induced microbiome changes can affect:

- **Energy metabolism:** Reduced production of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) from microbial fermentation impairs host energy metabolism.
- **Detoxification:** Microbiome composition affects the host's capacity to metabolize xenobiotics and environmental contaminants.
- **Immune regulation:** Reduced microbial metabolite production (e.g., tryptophan metabolites) can impair immune signaling and increase infection susceptibility (Zheng et al., 2017).

Genetic and Breeding Approaches

Selective breeding for stress resistance and disease tolerance offers sustainable long-term solutions. Important traits include:

- **Hygienic behavior:** Heritable, provides resistance to AFB, chalkbrood and *Varroa* (Büchler et al., 2010).
- ***Varroa* Sensitive Hygiene (VSH):** Specific hygienic trait targeting *Varroa*-infested brood (Villa et al., 2009).
- **Grooming behavior:** Heritable contribution to *Varroa* resistance (Bąk & Wilde, 2015).
- **Virus tolerance:** Stocks differ in susceptibility to viral infections, offering potential for selective breeding (Bhatia et al., 2021).

Table 4: *Components of Honey Bee Social and Individual Immunity*

Defense Mechanism	Level	Description	Protection Against	Heritability/Selectability
Hygienic behavior	Social	Uncapping and removal of diseased brood	AFB, chalkbrood, *Varroa*, viruses	Moderate-high (h^2=0.40-0.67) (Büchler et al., 2010)
Varroa Sensitive Hygiene (VSH)	Social	Specific detection/removal of mite-infested brood	*V. destructor*	Moderate-high (Villa et al., 2009)
Grooming (auto-/allo-)	Social	Removal of ectoparasites from adult bees	*V. destructor*; tracheal mites	Moderate (Bąk & Wilde, 2015)
Propolis collection	Social	Antimicrobial resin coating of hive interior	Broad spectrum (bacteria, fungi)	Moderate (Simone-Finstrom et al., 2009)
Behavioral fever	Social	Temperature elevation in the hive	*Ascosphaera apis* (chalkbrood)	Not directly selectable (Goblirsch et al., 2020)
Antimicrobial peptides	Individual	Defensin, apidaecin, abaecin, hymenoptaecin	Bacterial pathogens	Unknown (Evans et al., 2006)
Cellular immunity	Individual	Phagocytosis, nodulation, encapsulation	Broad spectrum; particularly parasites/fungi	Unknown (Amiri et al., 2020)
RNA interference	Individual	Post-transcriptional gene silencing	Viral pathogens	Not selectable (Brutscher et al., 2015)
Gut microbiome	Individual	Microbial-mediated defense, nutrition, metabolism	Broad spectrum; impacts nutrient processing	Partially (vertical transmission) (Motta & Moran, 2024)

Colony Level Health Assessment and Monitoring

Accurately assessing colony health is essential for identifying threats, evaluating interventions and predicting outcomes. However, colony health is inherently complex, a dynamic property of a superorganism that integrates colony demography, energetics, physiology and behavior. This section reviews emerging approaches to holistic colony health assessment.

Traditional Colony Strength Metrics

Beekeepers and researchers have long used measures of colony strength, adult bee population, brood area, food reserves, to evaluate colony health. The Liebefeld method, a semi-subjective visual estimation of frames covered by bees and brood, is widely used (Delaplane et al., 2013).

While useful, traditional metrics have limitations. Colony strength measured at a single timepoint may not reflect trajectory and colonies can appear healthy while harboring high pathogen loads or experiencing sublethal stress. Moreover, colony buffering capacity can mask individual level effects until a critical threshold is reached.

Pathogen and Pesticide Surveillance

Molecular monitoring of pathogen loads (viruses, Nosema, bacteria) and pesticide residues provides a more direct assessment of stressor exposure. Advances in qPCR and next generation sequencing have facilitated comprehensive pathogen screening (Ravoet et al., 2013; Runckel et al., 2011). However, interpreting pathogen loads remains challenging (some viruses are

ubiquitous and pathogen load alone does not predict colony outcome without considering interactions with other stressors).

Biomarkers and Physiological Indicators

Biomarkers provide insights into the physiological status of individual bees and colonies. Promising markers include:

- **Vitellogenin:** This protein serves multiple functions including nutrition, immunity and behavioral regulation. Vitellogenin levels decline with age, stress and certain infections, making it a useful health indicator (Amdam et al., 2003).
- **Heat shock proteins (HSPs):** HSP expression increases in response to various stressors, including heat, pesticides and pathogens (McMenamin et al., 2020).
- **Oxidative stress markers:** Malondialdehyde (MDA), an indicator of lipid peroxidation, has been used to assess oxidative stress in honey bees (Simone-Finstrom et al., 2016).
- **Immune gene expression:** Transcript abundance of genes involved in immune defense (e.g., AMPs) can indicate immune competence (Evans et al., 2006).

Precision Beekeeping: Sensors and Monitoring Technologies

Recent advances in sensor technology and data analytics have enabled continuous monitoring of colony conditions, opening possibilities for early detection of stress and targeted intervention (Zacepins et al., 2015; Barmak et al., 2023). Key monitored parameters include:

- **Temperature and humidity:** Changes in internal hive temperature can indicate colony strength, thermoregulatory capacity, or even impending collapse (Meikle et al., 2017).
- **Acoustic signatures:** Altered acoustic patterns may signal queen problems, swarming preparations, or disease outbreaks.
- **Hive weight:** Weight monitoring reveals nectar flow patterns, colony food consumption and seasonal dynamics (Flores et al., 2019).
- **Video monitoring:** Entrance and internal activity provide behavioral indicators of colony health.

Health Status Index (HSI)

Gilioli et al. (2019) proposed a Health Status Index (HSI) based on Structural Equation Modeling to integrate multiple health indicators into a single score. The HSI incorporates external drivers (resource availability, environmental factors, beekeeping practices) and colony level attributes (queen status, food stores, contamination, pathogen loads, demography, behavior). Such holistic approaches offer promise for synthesizing diverse data sources into actionable health assessments, though validation across different contexts remains necessary.

Mitigation Strategies and Integrated Management

Integrated Pest Management for Varroa

Effective *Varroa* management requires integrated approaches combining multiple control methods (Jack & Ellis, 2021):

- **Chemical control:** Synthetic acaricides (amitraz, fluvalinate, coumaphos) provide rapid knockdown but face resistance issues. Organic acids (oxalic acid, formic acid) and essential oils (thymol) offer alternatives with lower residue concerns.
- **Biotechnical methods:** Queen caging (brood interruption) temporarily breaks the mite reproductive cycle. Drone brood removal exploits the mite's preference for drone cells. Both methods can reduce mite populations without chemical inputs (Kovačić et al., 2023).
- **Breeding for resistance:** Selection for hygienic behavior and VSH traits provides a sustainable, long-term solution (Büchler et al., 2010).
- **Seasonal timing:** Applying treatments at strategic times, particularly during brood interruption, improves efficacy and reduces selection for resistance (Berry et al., 2023).

Disease Management Beyond Varroa

While *Varroa* control is paramount, other diseases also require management:

- **AFB and EFB:** Detection via spore counting (qPCR) enables early intervention. Antibiotic treatment (where permitted) can control AFB, but burning infected colonies remains the standard. Preventative measures include hygienic breeding and proper sanitation (Kušar et al., 2021; Tlak Gajger et al., 2024).
- ***Nosema*:** Fumagillin has limited efficacy and is banned in the EU. Alternative approaches include natural products, probiotics and nutritional support to enhance colony resilience (Marín-García et al., 2022).
- **Viruses:** Since no curative treatments exist, management focuses on controlling *Varroa* vectoring, breeding for tolerance/resistance and promoting colony health. RNAi approaches are promising but still experimental (Yang et al., 2018).

Nutritional Management and Habitat Restoration

Ensuring adequate nutrition is fundamental to colony health. Strategies include:

- **Floral diversity enhancement:** Planting diverse forage resources (native wildflowers, cover crops, hedgerows) extends the availability of pollen and nectar (Vaudo et al., 2015).
- **Supplemental feeding:** Providing protein and carbohydrate supplements during dearth periods, but using high quality products to avoid negative health effects (DeGrandi-Hoffman et al., 2016).
- **Habitat conservation:** Protecting and restoring natural habitats in agricultural landscapes provides both forage and nesting resources (Potts et al., 2016).

Pesticide Risk Mitigation

Reducing pesticide risk requires both policy and practice changes:

- **Regulatory actions:** Bans or restrictions on most hazardous pesticides, particularly neonicotinoids, have been implemented in the EU and some US states (Simon-Delso et al., 2015).
- **Best practices:** Apply pesticides when bees are not foraging (at night, before dawn). Use less toxic formulations and avoid tank mixes that increase synergism (Siviter et al., 2021).
- **Habitat based mitigation:** Provide alternative forage away from treated fields. Create buffer zones and use seed treatments rather than foliar sprays where possible.

Table 5: *Integrated Management Strategies for Major Honey Bee Stressors*

Stressor	Management Goal	Chemical/Biotechnical Methods	Cultural/Management Practices	Genetic Approaches	Policy/Regulatory
V. destructor	Population control below damage threshold (<3% infestation)	Acaricides (amitraz, fluvalinate, coumaphos); organic acids (oxalic, formic); thymol; essential oils	Brood interruption (queen caging); drone brood removal; powdered sugar dusting	Hygienic behavior breeding; VSH selection; grooming behavior	Resistance monitoring; treatment guidelines
Viral infections	Reduce vectoring; enhance host tolerance	None commercially available; RNAi experimental	*Varroa* control; biosecurity; equipment disinfection	Breeding for tolerance/resistance; local stock selection	Quarantine; movement restrictions
Nosema spp.	Reduce spore loads; enhance colony resilience	Fumagillin (limited); oxalic acid (experimental); natural products	Sanitation; queen replacement; nutritional support	Probiotic supplementation (experimental)	Regulatory restrictions (fumagillin)
AFB/EFB	Early detection; prevent spread	Antibiotics (where permitted); burning infected equipment	Sanitation; equipment disinfection; spore monitoring	Hygienic behavior breeding	Notification; movement restrictions
Pesticide exposure	Minimize exposure; enhance detoxification	Avoid treatment during bloom; choose less toxic formulations	Habitat restoration; alternative forage; buffer zones	Genetic variation in detoxification (research)	Bans/restrictions; label changes; application timing restrictions
Nutritional stress	Ensure adequate, diverse forage	Supplemental feeding (quality products)	Habitat restoration; floral diversity enhancement; reduced monoculture	Selection for nutritional efficiency (research)	Agri-environment schemes; land use planning

Beekeeper Education and Best Practices

Beekeeper knowledge and management decisions significantly affect colony health (Jacques et al., 2017; Kulhanek et al., 2021). Key priorities include:

- **Training:** Formal and informal education on bee biology, pest/disease identification and integrated management.
- **Record keeping:** Systematic recording of colony health, treatments and outcomes enables evidence-based management and early problem detection.
- **Adoption of evidence-based practices:** Following recommendations for *Varroa* control, nutrition management and disease prevention improves colony survival.

Research Priorities and Emerging Directions

Mechanistic Understanding of Stressor Interactions

While numerous interactions between stressors have been documented, the mechanisms underlying many remain poorly understood. Understanding mechanisms is essential for predicting which combinations of stressors will be most harmful and identifying intervention points. Priority areas include:

- Immune signaling pathways (NF-κB, Toll, Imd, JAK-STAT) as targets for stressor-induced dysfunction.
- The gut microbiome-metabolome axis as a mediator of stressor effects and potential target for intervention.
- Epigenetic mechanisms linking stress exposure to transgenerational effects.

Multiple-Stressor Research

Most research has examined one stressor at a time. Field realistic exposure to multiple stressors is the norm in agricultural landscapes, yet our understanding of complex interactions remains limited. Multi-stressor factorial experiments, particularly at the colony level, are needed.

Climate Change Adaptation

Climate change affects bees directly and indirectly by modifying stressor interactions. Understanding how climate shifts alter pest/disease dynamics, floral phenology and colony biology is essential for developing adaptive management strategies.

Translating Research to Practice

Despite extensive research, practical management outcomes have not improved dramatically (Bruckner et al., 2023). Closing the research-practice gap requires:

- Applied research focused on practical, implementable recommendations.
- Effective outreach and education to ensure adoption of evidence-based practices.
- Economic incentives for implementing best management practices.

CONCLUSION

Honey bee health is threatened by a complex web of biotic and abiotic stressors whose interactions often produce effects exceeding additive predictions. The ectoparasitic mite *V. destructor*, in concert with vectored viruses, remains the single most devastating threat to

managed colonies, fundamentally altering host-parasite dynamics through a mutualistic symbiosis that perpetuates escalating immunosuppression and colony decline. Beyond this key threat, pathogens including *N. ceranae*, bacterial diseases and fungal infections, alongside pesticide exposure (particularly neonicotinoids), nutritional stress from habitat loss and agricultural intensification, climate change and environmental pollutants each contribute to colony vulnerability.

Critically, understanding the functional pathways through which diverse stressors converge, particularly via the microbiome-metabolome axis and immune signaling networks, has emerged as a key scientific frontier. The recognition that profound metabolic disturbances can occur even when taxonomic shifts in the microbiome are modest emphasizes the need to move beyond descriptive community profiling toward functional and mechanistic assessments (Lin et al., 2023). The gut microbiome's role in nutrient processing, detoxification, immune regulation and stress resistance positions it as a central mediator of stressor effects and a promising target for interventions.

Despite extensive research, much remains unknown. The mechanisms underlying many stressor interactions, the pathways linking individual level stress to colony level outcomes and the efficacy of proposed interventions in real world conditions all require further investigation. Addressing these knowledge gaps will require integrated, interdisciplinary approaches combining molecular biology, physiology, ecology and epidemiology.

Practical colony management must integrate multiple strategies: controlling *Varroa* through integrated pest management; reducing pesticide exposure through careful application and habitat mitigation; ensuring adequate nutrition through both natural forage and quality supplements; selecting for disease resistant stocks; and engaging beekeepers through education and training. The future of sustainable beekeeping depends on the successful adoption of such integrated approaches. As global demand for pollination dependent crops continues to increase, safeguarding honey bee health and the health of other pollinators is not merely an environmental issue but a critical component of global food security.

REFERENCES

Alaux, C., Brunet, J.-L., Dussabaut, C., Mondet, F., Tchamitchan, S., Cousin, M., & Le Conte, Y. (2010). Interactions between *Nosema* microspores and a neonicotinoid weaken honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Environmental Microbiology*, 12(3), 774-782. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02123.x

Alaux, C., Allier, F., Decourtye, A., Odoux, J.-F., Tamic, T., Chabirand, M., Delestra, E., Decugis, F., Le Conte, Y., & Henry, M. (2017). A 'landscape physiology' approach for assessing bee health highlights the benefits of floral landscape enrichment and semi-natural habitats. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 40568. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40568.

Amiri, E., Meixner, M. D., & Kryger, P. (2016). Deformed wing virus can be transmitted during natural mating in honey bees and infect the queens. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 33065. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33065.

Amiri, E., Strand, M. K., Rueppell, O., & Tarpy, D. R. (2017). Queen quality and the impact of honey bee diseases on queen health: Potential for interactions between two major threats to colony health. *Insects*, 8(2), 48. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8020048.

Amiri, E., Abou-Shaara, H., & McAfee, A. (2025). The effect of major abiotic stressors on honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) queens and potential impact on their progeny. *Apidologie*, 56, 2 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-024-01133-0.

Amos, B. A., Leemon, D. L., Hayes, R. A., Cribb, B. W., & Furlong, M. J. (2018). Associations between the small hive beetle and the yeast *Kodamaea ohmeri* throughout the host life cycle. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 111(6), 2581-2590. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy121.

Antúnez, K., Martín-Hernández, R., Prieto, L., Meana, A., Zunino, P., & Higes, M. (2009). Immune suppression in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) following infection by *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia). *Environmental Microbiology*, 11(9), 2284-2290. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01953.x.

Aronstein, K. A., & Murray, K. D. (2010). Chalkbrood disease in honey bees. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S20-S29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.018.

Bąk, B., & Wilde, J. (2015). Grooming behavior by worker bees of various subspecies of honey bees to remove *V. destructor* mites. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 54(3), 207-215 https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2016.1147791.

Barmak, R., Stefancic, M., Hofstadler, D. N., Piotet, L., Schonwetter-Fuchs-Schistek, S., Mondada, F., ... & Mills, R. (2023). A robotic honeycomb for interaction with a honeybee colony. *Science Robotics*, 8(75), eadd7385. https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.add7385.

Bartomeus, I., Ascher, J. S., Wagner, D., Danforth, B. N., Colla, S., Kornbluth, S., & Winfree, R. (2011). Climate-associated phenological advances in bee pollinators and bee-pollinated plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(51), 20645-20649. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115559108.

Beaurepaire, A., Piot, N., Doublet, V., Antunez, K., Campbell, E., Chantawannakul, P., & Gisder, S. (2020). Diversity and global distribution of viruses of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *Insects*, 11(4), 239. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11040239.

Belsky, J., & Joshi, N. K. (2019). Impact of biotic and abiotic stressors on managed and feral bees. *Insects*, 10(8), 233. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10080233.

Benaets, K., Van Geystelen, A., Cardoen, D., De Smet, L., de Graaf, D. C., Schoofs, L., ... & Wenseleers, T. (2017). Covert deformed wing virus infections have long-term deleterious effects on honeybee foraging and survival. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 284(1848), 20162149. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2149.

Berry, J. A., Braman, S. K., Delaplane, K. S., & Bartlett, L. J. (2023). Inducing a summer brood break increases the efficacy of oxalic acid vaporization for *Varroa*

destructor control in *Apis mellifera* colonies. *Journal of Insect Science*, 23(6), 14. https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iead085.

Betti, M. I., Wahl, L. M., & Zamir, M. (2016). Age structure is critical to the population dynamics and survival of honeybee colonies. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3(11), 160444. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160444.

Bhatia, S., Baral, S. S., Vega Melendez, C., Amiri, E., & Rueppell, O. (2021). Comparing survival of Israeli acute paralysis virus infection among stocks of US honey bees. *Insects*, 12(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12010060.

Borba, R. S., Klyczek, K. K., Mogen, K. L., & Spivak, M. (2015). Seasonal benefits of a natural propolis envelope to honey bee immunity and colony health. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218(22), 3689-3699. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.127324.

Bordier, C., Dechatre, H., Suchail, S., Peruzzi, M., Soubeyrand, S., Pioz, M., ... & Alaux, C. (2017). Colony adaptive response to simulated heat waves and consequences at the individual level in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 3760. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03944-x.

Botías, C., Martín-Hernández, R., Barrios, L., Meana, A., & Higes, M. (2013). *Nosema* spp. infection and its negative effects on honey bees (*Apis mellifera iberiensis*) at the colony level. *Veterinary Research*, 44, 25. https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-44-25.

Brandt, A., Gorenflo, A., Siede, R., Meixner, M., & Büchler, R. (2016). The neonicotinoids thiacloprid, imidacloprid and clothianidin affect the immunocompetence of honey bees (Apis mellifera L.). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 86, 40-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2015.12.011.

Brodschneider, R., & Crailsheim, K. (2010). Nutrition and health in honey bees. Apidologie, 41(3), 278-294. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido/2010012.

Bruckner, S., Wilson, M., Aurell, D., Rennich, K., vanEngelsdorp, D., Steinhauer, N., & Williams, G. R. (2023). A national survey of managed honey bee colony losses in the USA: Results from the Bee Informed Partnership for 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 62(3), 429-443 https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2022.2158586.

Brutscher, L. M., Daughenbaugh, K. F., & Flenniken, M. L. (2015). Antiviral defense mechanisms in honey bees. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 10, 71-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.04.016.

Büchler, R., Berg, S., & Le Conte, Y. (2010). Breeding for resistance to *Varroa destructor* in Europe. *Apidologie*, 41(3), 393-408. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido/2010011.

Castelli, L., Branchicela, B., Garrido, M., Invernizzi, C., Porrini, M., Romero, H., ... & Antúnez, K. (2020). Impact of nutritional stress on honeybee gut microbiota, immunity and *N. ceranae* infection. *Microbial Ecology*, 80(4), 908-919 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-020-01538-1.

Chen, Y., Evans, J., & Feldlaufer, M. (2006). Horizontal and vertical transmission of viruses in the honey bee, *A. mellifera*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 92(3), 152-159 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2006.03.010.

Collins, A. M., & Pettis, J. S. (2013). Correlation of queen size and spermathecal contents and effects of miticide exposure during development. *Apidologie*, 44(3), 351-356 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-012-0186-1.

Cox-Foster, D. L., Conlan, S., Holmes, E. C., Palacios, G., Evans, J. D., Moran, N. A., ... & Lipkin, W. I. (2007). A metagenomic survey of microbes in honey bee colony collapse disorder. *Science*, 318(5848), 283-287 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1146498.

David, A., Botías, C., Abdul-Sada, A., Nicholls, E., Rotheray, E. L., Hill, E. M., & Goulson, D. (2016). Widespread contamination of wildflower and bee-collected pollen with complex mixtures of neonicotinoids and fungicides commonly applied to crops. *Environment International*, 88, 169-178 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.12.011.

de Miranda, J. R., & Genersch, E. (2010). Deformed wing virus. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S48-S61 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.012.

de Miranda, J. R., Cordoni, G., & Budge, G. (2010). The Acute bee paralysis virus-Kashmir bee virus-Israeli acute paralysis virus complex. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S30-S47 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.014.

DeGrandi-Hoffman, G., & Chen, Y. (2015). Nutrition, immunity and viral infections in honey bees. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 10, 170-176 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.05.007.

DeGrandi-Hoffman, G., Chen, Y., Rivera, R., Carroll, M., Chambers, M., Hidalgo, G., & de Jong, E. W. (2016). Honey bee colonies provided with natural forage have lower pathogen loads and higher overwinter survival than those fed protein supplements. *Apidologie*, 47(2), 186-196 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-015-0386-6.

Delaplane, K. S., van der Steen, J., & Guzmán-Novoa, E. (2013). Standard methods for estimating strength parameters of *A. mellifera* colonies. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 52(1), 1-12 https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA/1.52.1.03.

Deng, Y., Jiang, X., Zhao, H., Yang, S., Gao, J., Wu, Y., ... & Hou, C. (2021). Microplastic polystyrene ingestion promotes the susceptibility of honeybee to viral infection. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 55(17), 11680-11692 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01619.

Di Pasquale, G., Salignon, M., Le Conte, Y., Belzunces, L. P., Decourtye, A., Kretzschmar, A., ... & Alaux, C. (2013). Influence of pollen nutrition on honey bee health: Do pollen quality and diversity matter? *PLoS ONE*, 8(8), e72016 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072016.

Di Prisco, G., Pennacchio, F., Caprio, E., Boncristiani, H. F., Evans, J. D., & Chen, Y. (2011). *V. destructor* is an effective vector of Israeli acute paralysis virus in the honeybee, *A. mellifera*. *Journal of General Virology*, 92(1), 151-155 https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.023853-0.

Di Prisco, G., Cavaliere, V., Annoscia, D., Varricchio, P., Caprio, E., Nazzi, F., ... & Pennacchio, F. (2013). Neonicotinoid clothianidin adversely affects insect immunity and promotes replication of a viral pathogen in honey bees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(46), 18466-18471 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314923110.

Di Prisco, G., Annoscia, D., Margiotta, M., Ferrara, R., Varricchio, P., Zanni, V., ... & Pennacchio, F. (2016). A mutualistic symbiosis between a parasitic mite and a pathogenic virus undermines honey bee immunity and health. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(12), 3203-3208 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1523515113.

Dively, G. P., Embrey, M. S., Kamel, A., Hawthorne, D. J., & Pettis, J. S. (2015). Assessment of chronic sublethal effects of imidacloprid on honey bee colony health. *PLoS ONE*, 10(3), e0118748 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118748.

Doke, M. A., Frazier, M., & Grozinger, C. M. (2015). Overwintering honey bees: Biology and management. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 10, 185-193 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.05.014.

Dolezal, A. G., & Toth, A. L. (2018). Feedbacks between nutrition and disease in honey bee health. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 26, 114-119 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.02.006.

Donkersley, P., Rhodes, G., Pickup, R. W., Jones, K. C., & Wilson, K. (2014). Honeybee nutrition is linked to landscape composition. *Ecology and Evolution*, 4(21), 4195-4206 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1293.

Doublet, V., Labarussias, M., de Miranda, J. R., Moritz, R. F. A., & Paxton, R. J. (2015). Bees under stress: Sublethal doses of a neonicotinoid pesticide and pathogens interact to elevate honey bee mortality across the life cycle. *Environmental Microbiology*, 17(4), 969-983 https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12426.

Duay, P., De Jong, D., & Engels, W. (2002). Decreased flight performance and sperm production in drones of the honey bee (*A. mellifera*) slightly infested by *V. destructor* mites during pupal development. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 1(3), 227-232.

Eiri, D. M., & Nieh, J. C. (2012). A nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonist affects honey bee sucrose responsiveness and decreases waggle dancing. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 215(12), 2022-2029 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.068718.

Evans, J. D., & Spivak, M. (2010). Socialized medicine: Individual and communal disease barriers in honey bees. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S62-S72 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.019.

Evans, J. D., Aronstein, K., Chen, Y. P., Hetru, C., Imler, J.-L., Jiang, H., ... & Hultmark, D. (2006). Immune pathways and defence mechanisms in honey bees *A. mellifera*. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 15(5), 645-656 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00682.x.

Fischer, J., Müller, T., Spatz, A.-K., Greggers, U., Grünewald, B., & Menzel, R. (2014). Neonicotinoids interfere with specific components of navigation in honeybees. *PLoS ONE*, 9(3), e91364 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091364.

Flores, J. M., Gil-Lebrero, S., Gámiz, V., Rodríguez, M. I., Ortiz, M. A., & Quiles, F. J. (2019). Effect of the climate change on honey bee colonies in a temperate Mediterranean zone assessed through remote hive weight monitoring system in conjunction with exhaustive colonies assessment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 653, 1111-1119 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.004.

Forfert, N., Troxler, A., Retschnig, G., Gauthier, L., Straub, L., Moritz, R. F. A., ... & Williams, G. R. (2017). Neonicotinoid pesticides can reduce honeybee colony genetic diversity. *PLoS ONE*, 12(10), e0186109 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186109.

Forsgren, E., Budge, G. E., Charrière, J.-D., & Hornitzky, M. A. Z. (2013). Standard methods for European foulbrood research. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 52(1), 1-14 https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.1.12.

Friedli, A., Williams, G. R., Bruckner, S., Neumann, P., & Straub, L. (2020). The weakest link: Haploid honey bees are more susceptible to neonicotinoid insecticides. *Chemosphere*, 242, 125145 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125145.

Fries, I. (2010). *N. ceranae* in European honey bees (*A. mellifera*). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S73-S79 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.017.

Fries, I., Chauzat, M.-P., Chen, Y.-P., Doublet, V., Genersch, E., Gisder, S., ... & Paxton, R. J. (2013). Standard methods for Nosema research. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 52(1), 1-28 https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.1.14.

Gajger, I. T., Sakač, M., & Gregorc, A. (2017). Impact of thiamethoxam on honey bee queen (*A. mellifera* carnica) reproductive morphology and physiology. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 99(3), 297-302 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-017-2144-0.

Gallai, N., Salles, J.-M., Settele, J., & Vaissière, B. E. (2009). Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecological Economics*, 68(3), 810-821 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.06.014.

Genersch, E. (2010). American Foulbrood in honeybees and its causative agent, Paenibacillus larvae. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S10-S19 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.015.

Gilioli, G., Sperandio, G., Hatjina, F., & Simonetto, A. (2019). Towards the development of an index for the holistic assessment of the health status of a honey bee

colony. *Ecological Indicators*, 101, 341-347 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.01.028.

Gisder, S., Schüler, V., Horchler, L. L., Groth, D., & Genersch, E. (2017). Long-term temporal trends of Nosema spp. infection prevalence in Northeast Germany: Continuous spread of *N. ceranae*, an emerging pathogen of honey bees (*A. mellifera*), but no general replacement of *Nosema apis*. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 7, 301 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00301.

Goblirsch, M., Warner, J. F., Sommerfeldt, B. A., & Spivak, M. (2020). Social fever or general immune response? Revisiting an example of social immunity in honey bees. *Insects*, 11(8), 528 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11080528.

Gong, H., Chen, X., Chen, Y., Hu, F., Zhang, J., Lin, Z., ... & Zheng, H. (2016). Evidence of Apis cerana Sacbrood virus infection in *A. mellifera*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82(8), 2256-2262 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03292-15.

Goodrich, B. K. (2019). Do more bees imply higher fees? Honey bee colony strength as a determinant of almond pollination fees. *Food Policy*, 83, 150-160 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.12.008.

Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botías, C., & Rotheray, E. L. (2015). Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides and lack of flowers. *Science*, 347(6229), 1255957 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255957.

Gray, A., Brodschneider, R., Adjlane, N., Ballis, A., Brusbardis, V., Charrière, J.-D., ... & Soroker, V. (2019). Loss rates of honey bee colonies during winter 2017/18 in 36 countries participating in the COLOSS survey, including effects of forage sources. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 58(4), 479-485 https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2019.1615661.

Grozinger, C. M., & Flenniken, M. L. (2019). Bee viruses: Ecology, pathogenicity and impacts. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 64, 205-226 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011118-111942.

Hegland, S. J., Nielsen, A., Lázaro, A., Bjerknes, A.-L., & Totland, Ø. (2009). How does climate warming affect plant-pollinator interactions? *Ecology Letters*, 12(2), 184-195 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01269.x.

Henry, M., Béguin, M., Requier, F., Rollin, O., Odoux, J.-F., Aupinel, P., ... & Decourtye, A. (2012). A common pesticide decreases foraging success and survival in honey bees. *Science*, 336(6079), 348-350 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215039.

Higes, M., Martín-Hernández, R., Botías, C., Bailón, E. G., González-Porto, A. V., Barrios, L., ... & Meana, A. (2008). How natural infection by *N. ceranae* causes honeybee colony collapse. *Environmental Microbiology*, 10(10), 2659-2669 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01687.x.

Higes, M., Meana, A., Bartolomé, C., Botías, C., & Martín-Hernández, R. (2013). *N. ceranae* (Microsporidia), a controversial 21st century honey bee pathogen. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 5(1), 17-29 https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12024.

Hotchkiss, M. Z., Poulain, A. J., & Forrest, J. R. K. (2022). Pesticide-induced disturbances of bee gut microbiotas. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 46(4), fuab056 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuab056.

Hristov, P., Shumkova, R., Palova, N., & Neov, B. (2020). Factors associated with honey bee colony losses: A mini-review. *Veterinary Sciences*, 7(4), 166 https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci7040166.

Hung, K. L. J., Kingston, J. M., Albrecht, M., Holway, D. A., & Kohn, J. R. (2018). The worldwide importance of honey bees as pollinators in natural habitats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 285(1873), 20172140 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2140.

Jack, C. J., & Ellis, J. D. (2021). Integrated pest management control of *V. destructor* (Acari: Varroidae), the most damaging pest of (*A. mellifera* L.) colonies. *Journal of Insect Science*, 21(5), 6 https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieab058.

Jacques, A., Laurent, M., Ribière-Chabert, M., Saussac, M., Bougeard, S., Budge, G. E., ... & Chauzat, M.-P. (2017). A pan-European epidemiological study reveals honey bee colony survival depends on beekeeper education and disease control. *PLoS ONE*, 12(3), e0172591 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172591.

Johnson, R. M., Dahlgren, L., Siegfried, B. D., & Ellis, M. D. (2013). Acaricide, fungicide and drug interactions in honey bees (*A. mellifera*). *PLoS ONE*, 8(1), e54092 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054092.

Kerr, J. T., Pindar, A., Galpern, P., Packer, L., Potts, S. G., Roberts, S. M., ... & Pantoja, A. (2015). Climate change impacts on bumblebees converge across continents. *Science*, 349(6244), 177-180 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa7031.

Klein, A.-M., Vaissière, B. E., Cane, J. H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., & Tscharntke, T. (2007). Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 274(1608), 303-313 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3721.

Koleoglu, G., Goodwin, P. H., Reyes-Quintana, M., & Guzman-Novoa, E. (2017). Effect of *V. destructor*, founding and *Varroa* homogenate on gene expression in brood and adult honey bees. *PLoS ONE*, 12(1), e0169669 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169669.

Kovac, H., & Crailsheim, K. (1988). Lifespan of *A. mellifera* carnica Pollm. infested by *Varroa* jacobsoni Oud. in relation to season and extent of infestation. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 27(4), 230-238 https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.1988.11100808.

Kovačić, M., Uzunov, A., Tlak Gajger, I., Pietropaoli, M., Soroker, V., Adjlane, N., ... & Büchler, R. (2023). Honey vs. mite—A trade-off strategy by applying summer brood

interruption for *V. destructor* control in the Mediterranean region. *Insects*, 14(9), 751 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14090751.

Kralj, J., & Fuchs, S. (2006). Parasitic *V. destructor* mites influence flight duration and homing ability of infested *A. mellifera* foragers. *Apidologie*, 37(5), 577-587 https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2006040.

Kralj, J., & Fuchs, S. (2010). Nosema sp. influences flight behavior of infected honey bee (*A. mellifera*) foragers. *Apidologie*, 41(1), 21-28 https://doi.org/10.1051/apido/2009046.

Kraus, B., & Page, R. E. (1995). Effect of *Varroa* jacobsoni on feral *A. mellifera* in California. *Environmental Entomology*, 24(6), 1473-1480 https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/24.6.1473.

Krupke, C. H., Hunt, G. J., Eitzer, B. D. andino, G., & Given, K. (2012). Multiple routes of pesticide exposure for honey bees living near agricultural fields. *PLoS ONE*, 7(1), e29268 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029268.

Kulhanek, K., Steinhauer, N., Wilkes, J., Wilson, M., Spivak, M., Sagili, R. R., ... & vanEngelsdorp, D. (2021). Survey-derived best management practices for backyard beekeepers improve colony health and reduce mortality. *PLoS ONE*, 16(1), e0245490 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245490.

Kušar, D., Papić, B., Zajc, U., Zdovc, I., Golob, M., Žvokelj, L., ... & Pislak Ocepek, M. (2021). Novel TaqMan PCR assay for the quantification of Paenibacillus larvae spores in bee-related samples. *Insects*, 12(11), 1034 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12111034.

Kwadha, C. A., Ong'amo, G. O., Ndegwa, P. N., Raina, S. K., & Fombong, A. T. (2017). The biology and control of the greater wax moth, Galleria mellonella. *Insects*, 8(2), 61.

Kwong, W. K., & Moran, N. A. (2016). Gut microbial communities of social bees. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 14(6), 374-384 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8020061.

Lin, Z., Page, P., Li, L., Qin, Y., Zhang, Y., Hu, F., ... & Zheng, H. (2018). Reproduction of parasitic mites *V. destructor* in original and new honeybee hosts. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(4), 2135-2145 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3802.

Lin, Z., Shen, S., Wang, K., & Ji, T. (2023). Biotic and abiotic stresses on honeybee health. *Integrative Zoology*, 19(3), 442-457 https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12752.

Mao, W., Schuler, M. A., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2013). Honey constituents up-regulate detoxification and immunity genes in the western honey bee *A. mellifera*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(22), 8842-8846 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1303884110.

Marín-García, P. J., Peyre, Y., Ahuir-Baraja, A. E., Garijo, M. M., & Llobat, L. (2022). The role of *N. ceranae* (Microsporidia: Nosematidae) in honey bee colony losses and current insights on treatment. *Veterinary Sciences*, 9(3), 130 https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci9030130.

Martin, S. J., Highfield, A. C., Brettell, L., Villalobos, E. M., Budge, G. E., Powell, M., ... & Schroeder, D. C. (2012). Global honey bee viral landscape altered by a parasitic mite. *Science*, 336(6086), 1304-1306 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1220941.

Mayack, C., & Naug, D. (2009). Energetic stress in the honeybee *A. mellifera* from *N. ceranae* infection. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 100(3), 185-188 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2008.12.001.

McAfee, A., Chapman, A., Higo, H., Underwood, R., Milone, J., Foster, L. J., ... & Tarpy, D. R. (2020). Vulnerability of honey bee queens to heat-induced loss of fertility. *Nature Sustainability*, 3(5), 367-376 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0493-x.

McMenamin, A. J., Daughenbaugh, K. F., & Flenniken, M. L. (2020). The heat shock response in the western honey bee (*A. mellifera*) is antiviral. *Viruses*, 12(2), 245 https://doi.org/10.3390/v12020245.

Meikle, W. G., Weiss, M., Maes, P. W., Fitz, W., Snyder, L. A., Sheehan, T., ... & Anderson, K. E. (2017). Internal hive temperature as a means of monitoring honey bee colony health in a migratory beekeeping operation before and during winter. *Apidologie*, 48(5), 666-680 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-017-0512-8.

Minaud, E., Rebaudo, F., Davidson, P., Hatjina, F., Hotho, A., Mainardi, G., ... & Requier, F. (2024). How stressors disrupt honey bee biological traits and overwintering mechanisms. *Heliyon*, 10(15), e34390 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e34390.

Motmayen, M. I., Sharma, S. K., Sharma, P. C., & Shivani. (2024). Predatory behavior of wasp species, antagonistic defense mechanism of *Apis mellifera* honey bees and effective wasp management in apiaries. *Agricultural Research*, 14, 277–284.

Motta, E. V. S., & Moran, N. A. (2024). The honeybee microbiota and its impact on health and disease. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 22(3), 122-137 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-023-00990-3.

Motta, E. V. S., Raymann, K., & Moran, N. A. (2018). Glyphosate perturbs the gut microbiota of honey bees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(41), 10305-10310 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803880115.

Mullin, C. A., Frazier, M., Frazier, J. L., Ashcraft, S., Simonds, R., vanEngelsdorp, D., & Pettis, J. S. (2010). High levels of miticides and agrochemicals in North American apiaries: Implications for honey bee health. *PLoS ONE*, 5(3), e9754 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009754.

Muth, F., & Leonard, A. S. (2019). A neonicotinoid pesticide impairs foraging, but not learning, in free-flying bumblebees. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 4764 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39701-5.

Nazzi, F., Brown, S. P., Annoscia, D., Del Piccolo, F., Di Prisco, G., Varricchio, P., ... & Pennacchio, F. (2012). Synergistic parasite-pathogen interactions mediated by host immunity can drive the collapse of honeybee colonies. *PLoS Pathogens*, 8(6), e1002735 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002735.

Neumann, P., & Carreck, N. L. (2010). Honey bee colony losses. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 49(1), 1-6 https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.49.1.01.

Neumann, P., Pettis, J. S., & Schäfer, M. O. (2016). Quo vadis Aethina tumida? Biology and control of small hive beetles. *Apidologie*, 47(3), 427-466 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-016-0426-x.

Oddie, M. A. Y., Burke, A., Dahle, B., Le Conte, Y., Mondet, F., & Locke, B. (2021). Reproductive success of the parasitic mite (*V. destructor*) is lower in honeybee colonies that target infested cells with recapping. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 9133 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88592-y.

Palmer, M. J., Moffat, C., Saranzewa, N., Harvey, J., Wright, G. A., & Connolly, C. N. (2013). Cholinergic pesticides cause mushroom body neuronal inactivation in honeybees. *Nature Communications*, 4, 1634 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2648.

Paris, L., El Alaoui, H., Delbac, F., & Diogon, M. (2018). Effects of the gut parasite *N. ceranae* on honey bee physiology and behavior. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 26, 149-154 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.02.017.

Pavlović, R., Brodschneider, R., Goessler, W., Stanisavljević, L., Vujčić, Z., & Zarić, N. M. (2024). Micronutrient deficiency may be associated with the onset of chalkbrood disease in honey bees. *Insects*, 15(4), 269 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects15040269.

Perry, C. J., Søvik, E., Myerscough, M. R., & Barron, A. B. (2015). Rapid behavioral maturation accelerates failure of stressed honey bee colonies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(11), 3427-3432 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422089112.

Pettis, J. S., vanEngelsdorp, D., Johnson, J., & Dively, G. (2012). Pesticide exposure in honey bees results in increased levels of the gut pathogen Nosema. *Naturwissenschaften*, 99(2), 153-158 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-011-0881-1.

Phokasem, P., Mookhploy, W., Krongdang, S., Sinpoo, C., & Chantawannakul, P. (2022). Interaction between thiamethoxam and deformed wing virus type A on wing characteristics and expression of immune and apoptosis genes in *A. mellifera*. *Insects*, 13(6), 515 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13060515.

Piot, N., Schweiger, O., Meeus, I., Yañez, O., Straub, L., Villamar-Bouza, L., ... & Smagghe, G. (2022). Honey bees and climate explain viral prevalence in wild bee communities on the continental scale. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 1904 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05603-2.

Pirk, C. W. W., Crewe, R. M., & Moritz, R. F. A. (2017). Risks and benefits of the biological interface between managed and wild bee pollinators. *Functional Ecology*, 31(1), 47-55 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12768.

Ploquin, E. F., Herrera, J. M., & Obeso, J. R. (2013). Bumblebee community homogenization after uphill shifts in montane areas of northern Spain. *Oecologia*, 173(4), 1649-1660 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-013-2732-4.

Potts, S. G., Biesmeijer, J. C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., & Kunin, W. E. (2010). Global pollinator declines: Trends, impacts and drivers. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 25(6), 345-353 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.007.

Potts, S. G., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V., Ngo, H. T., Aizen, M. A., Biesmeijer, J. C., Breeze, T. D., ... & Vanbergen, A. J. (2016). Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. *Nature*, 540(7632), 220-229 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20588.

Ramsey, S. D., Ochoa, R., Bauchan, G., Gulbronson, C., Mowery, J. D., Cohen, A., ... & vanEngelsdorp, D. (2019). *V. destructor* feeds primarily on honey bee fat body tissue and not hemolymph. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(5), 1792-1801 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818371116.

Rangel, J., & Tarpy, D. R. (2015). The combined effects of miticides on the mating health of honey bee (*A. mellifera* L.) queens. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 54(3), 275-283 https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2016.1147218.

Ravoet, J., Maharramov, J., Meeus, I., De Smet, L., Wenseleers, T., Smagghe, G., & de Graaf, D. C. (2013). Comprehensive bee pathogen screening in Belgium reveals *Crithidia mellificae* as a new contributory factor to winter mortality. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8), e72443 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072443.

Raymann, K., Shaffer, Z., & Moran, N. A. (2017). Antibiotic exposure perturbs the gut microbiota and elevates mortality in honeybees. *PLoS Biology*, 15(3), e2001861 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2001861.

Requier, F., Odoux, J.-F., Henry, M., & Bretagnolle, V. (2017). The carry-over effects of pollen shortage decrease the survival of honeybee colonies in farmlands. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 54(4), 1161-1170 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12836.

Requier, F., Rome, Q., Chiron, G., Decante, D., Marion, S., Ménard, M., ... & Henry, M. (2019). Predation of the invasive Asian hornet affects foraging activity and survival probability of honey bees in Western Europe. *Journal of Pest Science*, 92(2), 567-578 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1063-0.

Ribière, M., Olivier, V., & Blanchard, P. (2010). Chronic bee paralysis: A disease and a virus like no other? *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S120-S131 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.013.

Richards, E. H., Jones, B., & Bowman, A. (2011). Salivary secretions from the honeybee mite, *V. destructor*: Effects on insect haemocytes and preliminary biochemical characterization. *Parasitology*, 138(5), 602-608 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182011000072.

Ricigliano, V. A. Anderson, K. E., & Mott, B. M. (2019). Probing the honey bee diet-microbiota-host axis using pollen restriction and organic acid feeding. *Insects*, 11(5), 291 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11050291.

Rinkevich, F. (2020). Detection of amitraz resistance and reduced treatment efficacy in the *Varroa* mite, *V. destructor*, within commercial beekeeping operations. *PLoS ONE*, 15(1), e0227264 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227264.

Rondeau, S., & Raine, N. E. (2022). Fungicides and bees: A review of exposure and risk. *Environment International*, 165, 107311 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107311.

Rosenkranz, P., Aumeier, P., & Ziegelmann, B. (2010). Biology and control of *V. destructor*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103, S96-S119 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.07.016.

Rothman, J. A., Leger, L., Kirkwood, J. S., & McFrederick, Q. S. (2019). Cadmium and selenate exposure affects the honey bee microbiome and metabolome and bee-associated bacteria show potential for bioaccumulation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(21), e01411-19 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01411-19.

Runckel, C., Flenniken, M. L., Engel, J. C., Ruby, J. G., Ganem, D. Andino, R., & DeRisi, J. L. (2011). Temporal analysis of the honey bee microbiome reveals four novel viruses and seasonal prevalence of known viruses, Nosema and Crithidia. *PLoS ONE*, 6(6), e20656 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020656.

Saleem, M. S., Huang, Z. Y., & Milbrath, M. O. (2020). Neonicotinoid pesticides are more toxic to honey bees at lower temperatures: Implications for overwintering bees. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, 556856 https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2020.556856.

Schmehl, D. R., Teal, P. E. A., Frazier, J. L., & Grozinger, C. M. (2014). Genomic analysis of the interaction between pesticide exposure and nutrition in honey bees (*A. mellifera*). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 71, 177-190 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2014.10.002.

Schneider, P., & Drescher, W. (1987). The influence of *Varroa* jacobsoni on weight, development and longevity of honey bees. *Apidologie*, 18(1), 101-110 https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:19870108.

Sharma, V. P., & Kumar, N. R. (2010). Changes in honeybee behaviour and biology under the influence of cellphone radiations. *Current Science*, 98(10), 1376-1378 https://www.jstor.org/stable/24111554.

Simone-Finstrom, M., Li-Byarlay, H., Huang, M. H., Strand, M. K., Rueppell, O., & Tarpy, D. R. (2016). Migratory management and environmental conditions affect lifespan and oxidative stress in honey bees. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 32023 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32023.

Simone-Finstrom, M., Evans, J. D., & Spivak, M. (2009). Resin collection and social immunity in honey bees. *Evolution*, 63(11), 3016-3022 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00772.x.

Simon-Delso, N., Amaral-Rogers, V., Belzunces, L. P., Bonmatin, J. M., Chagnon, M., Downs, C. A., ... & Wiemers, M. (2015). Systemic insecticides (neonicotinoids and fipronil): Trends, uses, mode of action and metabolites. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(1), 5-34 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3470-y.

Siviter, H., Bailes, E. J., Martin, C. D., Oliver, T. R., Koricheva, J., Leadbeater, E., & Brown, M. J. F. (2021). Agrochemicals interact synergistically to increase bee mortality. *Nature*, 596(7872), 389-392 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03787-7.

Stabentheiner, A., Kovac, H., & Brodschneider, R. (2010). Honeybee colony thermoregulation—Regulatory mechanisms and contribution of individuals in dependence on age, location and thermal stress. *PLoS ONE*, 5(1), e8967 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008967.

Steele, M. I., Motta, E. V. S., Gattu, T., Martinez, D., & Moran, N. A. (2021). The gut microbiota protects bees from invasion by a bacterial pathogen. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 9(1), e00394-21 https://doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.00394-21.

Steinhauer, N., Kulhanek, K., Antúnez, K., Human, H., Chantawannakul, P., Chauzat, M.-P., & vanEngelsdorp, D. (2018). Drivers of colony losses. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 26, 142-148 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.02.004.

Straub, L., Williams, G. R., Vidondo, B., Khongphinitbunjong, K., Retschnig, G., Schneeberger, A., ... & Neumann, P. (2019). Neonicotinoids and ectoparasitic mites synergistically impact honeybees. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 8159 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44207-1.

Straub, L., Villamar-Bouza, L., Bruckner, S., Chantawannakul, P., Gauthier, L., Khongphinitbunjong, K., ... & Neumann, P. (2016). Neonicotinoid insecticides can serve as inadvertent insect contraceptives. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 283(1835), 20160506 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0506.

Tan, K., Radloff, S. E., Li, J. J., Hepburn, H. R., Yang, M. X., Zhang, L. J., & Neumann, P. (2007). Bee-hawking by the wasp, *Vespa velutina*, on the honeybees Apis cerana and *A. mellifera*. *Naturwissenschaften*, 94(6), 469-472 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-006-0210-2.

Tarpy, D. R., vanEngelsdorp, D., & Pettis, J. S. (2013). Genetic diversity affects colony survivorship in commercial honey bee colonies. *Naturwissenschaften*, 100(8), 723-728 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-013-1065-y.

Tlak Gajger, I., Tomljanović, Z., Mutinelli, F., Granato, A., & Vlanić, J. (2024). Effects of disinfectants on bacterium *Paenibacillus larvae* in laboratory conditions. *Insects*, 15(4), 268 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects15040268.

Tosi, S., Nieh, J. C., Sgolastra, F., Cabbri, R., & Medrzycki, P. (2017). Neonicotinoid pesticides and nutritional stress synergistically reduce survival in honey bees. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 284(1869), 20171711 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1711.

Traynor, K. S., Mondet, F., de Miranda, J. R., Techer, M., Kowallik, V., Oddie, M. A. Y., ... & McAfee, A. (2020). *V. destructor*: A complex parasite, crippling honey bees worldwide. *Trends in Parasitology*, 36(7), 592-606 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2020.04.004.

Traynor, K. S., Tosi, S., Rennich, K., Steinhauer, N., Forsgren, E., Rose, R., ... & vanEngelsdorp, D. (2021). Pesticides in honey bee colonies: Establishing a baseline for real world exposure over seven years in the USA. *Environmental Pollution*, 279, 116566 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116566.

Ulgezen, Z. N., van Dooremalen, C., & van Langevelde, F. (2021). Understanding social resilience in honeybee colonies. *Current Research in Insect Science*, 1, 100021 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cris.2021.100021.

vanEngelsdorp, D., Evans, J. D., Saegerman, C., Mullin, C., Haubruge, E., Nguyen, B. K., ... & Pettis, J. S. (2009). Colony collapse disorder: A descriptive study. *PLoS ONE*, 4(8), e6481 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006481.

Vaudo, A. D., Tooker, J. F., Grozinger, C. M., & Patch, H. M. (2015). Bee nutrition and floral resource restoration. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 10, 133-141 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.05.008.

Vidau, C., Diogon, M., Aufauvre, J., Fontbonne, R., Viguès, B., Brunet, J.-L., ... & Delbac, F. (2011). Exposure to sublethal doses of fipronil and thiacloprid highly increases mortality of honeybees previously infected by *N. ceranae*. *PLoS ONE*, 6(6), e21550 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021550.

Villa, J. D., Danka, R. G., & Harris, J. W. (2009). Simplified methods of evaluating colonies for levels of *Varroa* Sensitive Hygiene (VSH). *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 48(3), 162-167 https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.48.3.03.

Wang, K., Li, J., Zhao, L., Mu, X., Wang, C., Wang, M., ... & Qi, S. (2021). Gut microbiota protects honey bees (*A. mellifera* L.) against polystyrene microplastics exposure risks. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 402, 123828 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123828.

Wilfert, L., Long, G., Leggett, H. C., Schmid-Hempel, P., Butlin, R., Martin, S. J. M., & Boots, M. (2016). Deformed wing virus is a recent global epidemic in honeybees driven by *Varroa* mites. *Science*, 351(6273), 594-597 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac9976.

Williams, G. R., Troxler, A., Retschnig, G., Roth, K., Yañez, O., Shutler, D., ... & Gauthier, L. (2015). Neonicotinoid pesticides severely affect honey bee queens. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 14621 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14621.

Wolf, S., McMahon, D. P., Lim, K. S., Pull, C. D., Clark, S. J., Paxton, R. J., & Osborne, J. L. (2014). So near and yet so far: Harmonic radar reveals reduced homing ability of Nosema infected honeybees. *PLoS ONE*, 9(8), e103989 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103989.

Wright, G. A., Nicolson, S. W., & Shafir, S. (2018). Nutritional physiology and ecology of honey bees. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 63, 327-344 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043423.

Yanez, O., Jaffe, R., Jarosch, A., Fries, I., Moritz, R. F. A., Paxton, R. J., & de Miranda, J. R. (2012). Deformed wing virus and drone mating flights in the honey bee (*A. mellifera*): Implications for sexual transmission of a major honey bee virus. *Apidologie*, 43(1), 17-30 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-011-0088-7.

Yang, D., Xu, X., Zhao, H., Yang, S., Wang, X., Zhao, D., ... & Hou, C. (2018). Diverse factors affecting efficiency of RNAi in honey bee viruses. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9, 384 https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00384.

Zacepins, A., Brusbardis, V., Meitalovs, J., & Stalidzans, E. (2015). Challenges in the development of precision beekeeping. *Biosystems Engineering*, 130, 60-71 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2014.12.001.

Zhang, G., St. Clair, A. L., Dolezal, A., Toth, A. L., & O'Neal, M. (2020). Honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) pollen forage in a highly cultivated agroecosystem: Limited diet diversity and its relationship to virus resistance. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 113(3), 1062-1072 https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toaa055.

Zheng, H., Powell, J. E., Steele, M. I., Dietrich, C., & Moran, N. A. (2017). Honeybee gut microbiota promotes host weight gain via bacterial metabolism and hormonal signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(18), 4775-4780 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701819114.

Zheng, H., Perreau, J., Powell, J. E., Han, B., Zhang, Z., Kwong, W. K., ... & Moran, N. A. (2019). Division of labor in honey bee gut microbiota for plant polysaccharide digestion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(51), 25909-25916 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916224116.